

45

46

Town of Georgetown

MINUTES

1	Committee:	Planning Board
2	Date:	May 27, 2015
3	Time:	7:00pm.
4	Location:	Georgetown Town Hall, 3rd floor conference room
5		
6	Members and Staff present: Rob Hoover, Tillie Evangelista, Harry LaCortiglia, Bob Watts, Matt Martin,	
7	Howard Snyder, Andrea Thibault.	
8	Minutes taken by A. Thibault.	
9	The Meeting was called to order at 7:06pm by R. Hoover.	
10		
11	New Business:	
12	H. Snyder: Since this is the first meeting of the Planning Board after town elections and town meeting, we	
13	will proceed with a reorganization of the Board. I will coordinate and lead the taking of nominations	
14		
15	B. Watts: I nominate Rob Hoover for Chair of Planning Board.	
16	Vote 5-0 in the affirmative.	
17	R. Hoover: I nominate Tillie Evangelista for Vice Chair of the Planning Board.	
18	Vote 5-0 in the affirmative.	
19	H. LaCortiglia: I nominate Robert Watts for clerk position for the Planning Board.	
20	Vote 5-0 ir	n the affirmative.
21		
22	Approval of Minutes:	
23	Discussion on the Minutes of April 14, and April 22, 2015.	
24	B. Watts: Motion to approve minutes of April 14, and April 22 nd with amendments.	
25	T. Evangelista: Second.	
26	Motion carries 3 - 0 - 2 abstain (H. LaCortiglia and Matt Martin).	
27		
28	<u>Correspondence:</u>	
29	•	ey Lane correspondence from resident, police, light, water department. These will be
30	discussed where	n we open the Public Hearing for Bailey Lane.
31		
32	Vouchers:	
33	•	o vouchers with a total amount of \$246.36 for general office supplies from Staples.
34		sta: Motion to approve payment of \$246.36.
35	_	lia: Second with discussion.
36	Motion car	ries 5-0. Approved unanimously.
37		
38	_	- 269 Central Street.
39	•	received a request for continuation until the first meeting in June
40	H. LaCortiglia: Motion to open the continuation of the Public Hearing for Site Plan Approval of Nunan's	
41	at 269 Central Street.	
42	B. Watts: S	
43	Motion car	ries 5-0 unanimously.
44		

H. LaCortiglia: Motion to continue until June 10, 2015.

T. Evangelista: Second.

Motion carries 5-0 unanimously.

Public Hearing – Bailey Lane OSRD.

H. LaCortiglia: Motion to open public hearing for Bailey Lane OSRD.

M. Martin: Second.

Motion carries 5-0 unanimously.

R. Hoover: Welcome. (To developer) What we are going to do – is that you have a brief window to update everyone here and then we will take comments from the Planning Board.

Tom Mannetta: I am Tom Mannetta from Thomas Mannetta, Inc. and with me is Jeff Router from Simes Associates. For general information, the site is off Bailey Lane. It is about 12.2 acres in residential C zone, which requires conventional lots at 80,000 sq. feet with 200 feet of frontage. The site slopes down on average 1-14 percent. It is mainly forested with red oak and white pine. There is an existing path through the site.

What we are proposing under either plan is to upgrade Bailey Lane from the intersection of Taylor to Mohawk to a width of 18 feet. And from Mohawk to the frontage on Lot 5, the last lot, to 14 feet. Bailey Lane is a private road, under public use. What complies with subdivision regulations is 200 feet of frontage and 2 acre lots. Because this parcel is over 10 acres, we also have to look at this as OSRD. These are the requirements of the Planning Board. So, we have two plans.

I will describe the conventional plan first. We propose a road of 488 feet and 5 lots. Lots will range from 88,000 sq. feet to 155,000 sq. feet.

For both plans, there are the same road improvements. Both the plans are in the conceptual phase. In our OSRD plan, about 7 acres are proposed to be Open Space deeded to the Town of Georgetown. The OSRD has five lots also. There is a parking area for approximately 5 spaces next to the trail. The trail would tie into the existing path on the property. The OSRD plan has smaller lots, but a large open space.

R. Hoover: Thank you. Now I would like to take comments and questions from the public. Please introduce yourself for the record.

Edward Olbash: 33 Bailey Lane. My primary concern is safety. How would they widen the road? So, for example, the existing road is where? Another primary concern is privacy issues. When my wife and I first moved to Georgetown, our primary concern was privacy. Our beautiful backyard. I am very concerned about that. You see kids riding their bikes, people walking their dogs. The roadway is narrow.

R. Hoover: I would like to take everyone's comments and concerns. And as a reminder, this is the first public hearing. We have no information back yet from our technical review agent. As well as we haven't determined the yield plan and the number of lots. So this is early in the process. The first public hearing. It's really more for you all to put your concerns on the table and make them understood so that we can address those.

E. Olbash: One other thing I would like to add is that my house is the one proposed by the roadway. We would like to stop this. If this cannot be stopped, I would like to be accommodated in terms of a privacy barrier.

H. Snyder: To help you understand, one the of concepts behind an OSRD application is that it is a special
permit. This special permit provides ability for the Planning Board and applicant to work together- outside of a stricter permit review of definitive subdivision. Under the special permit, they can work with
the developer in getting roads widths narrowed.

Under the OSRD, those two lots --it is a Court so it would only actually be about 14 feet wide. Under subdivision standards it would be 24 feet wide. Under special permit, and as a Court, you are reducing the roadway width. And, in this instance it is being considered as just a shared driveway, not as a subdivision roadway.

R. Hoover: There will be more Public Hearings and more opportunities to ask more questions and have clarification. Is there anyone else from the public?

Anthony Natale: 35 Bailey Lane. What I am mostly concerned about, is this Court. There is a 50 foot easement between the houses and that was always there. I stand to lose 1/3 of my driveway. You say it is going to be 14 feet or is going to be 24 feet? I have been in this house almost 40 years. Also my driveway is the original driveway. Which way are you people leaning toward? OSRD?

T. Mannetta: In the conventional plan, it would be 24 feet wide with curbs and sidewalks. The Court would be 14 feet wide with a common driveway.

116 A. Natale: There are a lot of trees, will they be cut down?

Bruce Prescott: As you know there is a telephone pole right here. All of my utilities will have to be moved. Is there any other easement where you can get to any of these back lots? And, is there any sort of time frame proposal?

- T. Mannetta: No other easements, but it is only a 14 foot driveway. The project is just in the preliminary phase. These are both conceptual plans. We have two options here. We need a feel from the abutters and from the Planning Board on which way to go.
- Hannah Olbash, 33 Bailey: My concern is the placement of the houses. I want more privacy, and don't want the houses so close to my backyard. That is my only concern.

Jim Thornhill: That section of Bailey Lane, where the shared driveway is proposed, is the worst and steepest section of that existing road. The drainage pattern is that water comes straight down that hill and freezes in the winter. If I come home at night without 4 wheel drive, I get stuck there. You have to back all the way down the hill, and hit it hard to get up again. To add another driveway in there--- there must be some fixing done in there.

T. Mannetta: We are proposing to change the drainage control.

Mark Unger: I don't think there is drainage so where would they be putting this water flow? And, the density is more than I think should work, it will be too congested. Open space- I agree with concept but not here. I don't want to give people a place to park- they bring trash in now, and leave it on my stone way to dispose of. I personally do not want to see those spaces there.

- 141 R. Hoover: The drainage will be looked at quite closely. One of the challenges the Board has in weighing
- the OSRD, and that number of units vs. traditional subdivision is in whether to widen the pavement, and
- the trees you can save and can't save. It's a balancing act with many factors.

144

145 Terry Olbash, 33 Bailey: Isn't there a wetland there?

146

147 T. Mannetta: No, it is not.

148

149 T. Olbash: Well, there is river behind the house.

150

151 T. Mannetta: We submitted a report from the botanist.

152

153 R. Hoover: (to Tom) Will you please point to the land behind the house that you are talking about where there is not wetland, no conservation, and where they think there is?

155

T. Mannetta: A botanist confirmed that there are no wetlands on the property, but there are wetlands offsite. We are hundreds of feet from that development area.

158

T. Evangelista: You are zone 2, aren't you?

160

161 T. Mannetta: No, but I would have to confirm that.

162

163 T. Evangelista: Can you confirm that please?

164

165 T. Mannetta: Yes.

166

167 R. Hoover: Are there questions or comments from the Planning Board at this time?

168

T. Evangelista: There was a trail on the right side of that house, at the end of what you show on Bailey Lane. Will that be preserved?

171

172 T. Mannetta: No. But there will be other access to that trail.

173

174 T. Evangelista: And also, the habitat of the protected----?

175

176 T. Mannetta: Yes. Both of those have been reviewed and there is nothing on this site.

177

T. Evangelista: Can we have a copy of that report?

179

180 T. Mannetta: Yes. I can have the botanist prepare it.

181

H. LaCortiglia: I believe it is a national heritage endangered species area, or a portion of it is.

183

184 H. Snyder: Are you going to submit an ANR Form A application?

- 186 T. Mannetta: We are trying to understand whether you would prefer us to go with OSRD or go
- conventional. Under your regulations, these are both conceptual plans. We are trying to get input from
- the Board on which to engineer. We would then start the full engineering.

- 189 R. Hoover: The Board is not going to direct you. The Board is not going to say go in this direction or go in that direction. In the end, it is going to be your decision. I want to make that very clear.
- 191
- 192 H. Snyder: Whether you proceed with the OSRD, or the conventional, they would have to do an ANR plan.
- 193 That is when the Planning Board can evaluate.

194

- 195 R. Hoover: There will be coming from the technical review agent additional guidance on their opinion.
- 196 Then we can start to say whether or not we agree with the yield plan.

197

H. Snyder: I would like to enter into the record, as Exhibit A, a letter from Latham Law Offices. Exhibit B is Forest Cover Type Description from C Camp Environmental Consultants. These will be in the next packets to the Board.

200 201

H. LaCortiglia: When we finally resolve that 5-4 yield, you will have to demonstrate to the Board how you preserved the primary resources, the secondary resources, and how you built your around them, how you avoided them.

205206

207

208

T. Mannetta: My question now is what is the significance of that path? It appears the path was used from Bailey Lane to the other open spaces that the town owns. This has always been a private piece of property. The Conservation Agent asked us for parking and we are creating a new path to tie into the point where it reaches Town property, where it is extensive.

209210211

R. Hoover: Have you talked with Conservation Commission?

212

T. Manetta: Yes. I met with them, and Planning Dept. I tried to meet with DPW. I haven't had any luck in reaching him.

215

- B. Watts: I appreciate the neighbor's privacy concerns. I would like to see some sort of privacy buffer. I would like you to do what you need to do in order to maintain the feeling of the neighborhood.
 - H. LaCortigia: Motion to establish \$4,000. M-account for technical review of Bailey Lane application.
- 219 B. Watts: Second.
 - 5-0. Motion carries unanimously.

220221222

224

218

- B. Watts: I would like a map of existing conditions, showing natural resources, official and unofficial wetlands, features, trails, and the like. And, I would like to request the Board do a site walk.
 - H. LaCortiglia: Motion to engage technical agent Larry Graham.
- T. Evangelista: Second.
 - 5-0. Motion carries unanimously.

226227

- 228 H. LaCortigia: Motion for continuation to June 24th at 7:10pm.
- T. Evangelista: Second.
- 4-0. motion carries. 1 abstains. B. Watts will be unable to attend June 24 meeting.

231232

Member or Public Report:

T. Evangelista: I believe we all got an email from an abutter of Turning Leaf. I want them to come to a meeting to express their questions and concerns, so that we can answer them.

236 R. Hoover: There is miscommunication. They need to talk to the Planning Office, and come to the public 237 hearing where we can talk about those concerns. The best way to communicate is for everyone to be in 238 the same room talking about it---the Planning Board, the Town Planner with the public with the people 239 who have these concerns being here to talk with us. That is the effective way to get the best answers and 240 the best results.

241242

T. Evangelista: Can we so inform this abutter?

243244

R. Hoover: I suggest the abutter sends an email to the Town Planner requesting a meeting with the Planning Board, and we will do it.

245246247

List of Documents and Other Exhibits used at Meeting:

Documents and Other Exhibits used at meeting will be available for review at the Georgetown Planning Office.

249250251

252

248

Motion to adjourn was made by B. Watts.

H. LaCortiglia: Second.

253 Motion carries 5-0 unanimously.

254

The meeting was adjourned at 8:45pm.

255256

258

257 Next Meeting:

Date: June 10, 2015, June 24, 2015, July 8, 2015, July 22, 2015.

259 Time: 7pm.

260 Place: Georgetown Town Hall, 3rd floor conference room.